

Workshop on: Competence-based Learning: the Assessent of Learning Outcomes

Bangkok, 13th June 2013

Julia González-Robert Wagenaar



The Assessent of Learning Outcomes:

A case study: Critical thinking



A case study: Critical thinking

1- Presentation

COMPETENCE: CRITICAL THINKING



Definition: This is the mental behaviour that questions things and concerns itself with the foundations on which our own and others' ideas, actions and judgements are based.

Levels of mastery:

- 1. Asking oneself questions about life around us and actively participating in discussions about it, analysing the judgements made and reflecting on the consequences of one's own and others' decisions
- 2. Analysing the consistency and logic of one's own and others' judgements, evaluating their personal and social implications
- 3. Arguing the pertinence of judgements made and analysing the consistency of one's own conduct, given the principles and values that one defends

Indicators:

- 1. Own judgements
- 2. Judgements analysis
- 3. Judgement criteria
- 4. Practical implications
- 5. Responsibility

Example of a generic competence / skill (1)



Levels of Achievement : CRITICAL THINKING

FIRST LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT: Asking oneself questions about surrounding life and actively participating in discussions on it, analysing the judgements made and reflecting on the consequences of one's own and others' decisions

SECOND LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT: Analysing the logic of own and others' judgements, weighing their personal and social implications

THIRD LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT: Arguing the pertinence of judgements made and analysing the consistency of own behaviour, based on underlying principles and values

CRITICAL THINKING: FIRST LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT (1)



						Educational Structure in Europe	
LEVELS OF	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTORS					
MASTERY	S	1	2	3	4	5	
First level of mastery:	Showing critical spirit	Never questions the situation or conditions of own life.	Questions certain situations in own life.	Shows critical attitude towards conditions of own life.	Questions and explores reality, reflecting on life.	Formulates own judgements and evaluations based on systematic reflection on reality.	
Asking oneself questions about surrounding life and actively participating in discussions on it, analysing the judgements	Distinguishing fact from opinion, interpretations, evaluations, etc. in others' argumentation	Accepts as own judgements or decisions based on opinions, evaluations, etc. as though they were objective facts.	Accepts without question judgements or decisions based on opinions, evaluations, etc. as though they were objective facts.	Questions judgements or decisions based on opinions, evaluations, etc.	Distinguishes objective facts from opinions and evaluations.	Correctly analyses judgements or decisions based on opinions, evaluations, etc.	
	Actively participating in discussion	Remains passive during discussions.	Finds it hard to participate in discussion situations.	Actively participates in discussion.	Participates constructively in discussions, contributing to construction of rich, shared reflection.	In discussions serves as constructive point of reference for others.	
made and reflecting on the consequences	Foreseeing the practical implications of decisions and approaches	Unaware of the effects of decisions and proposals.	Ignores the practical implications of decisions and proposals.	Foresees the practical implications of decisions and proposals.	Analyses the pros and cons of the effects of decisions proposed.	Gives importance to proper evaluation of the pros and cons of decisions and proposals	
of one's own and others' decisions	Reflecting on the consequences and effects that one's decisions have on others	Doesn't think about the consequences of own actions.	Simply accepts others' observations and criticisms of own behaviour.	Reflects on the consequences and effects that own decisions have on others.	Recognises and accepts own mistakes.	Asks for, weighs and takes into account others' feedback on own conduct.	

CRITICAL THINKING: SECOND LEVEL OF **ACHIEVEMENT (2)**



in Europe

LEVELS OF MASTERY	INDICATORS	DESCRIPTORS						
		1	2	3	4	5		
Second level of mastery: Analysing the logic of own and others' judgements, weighing their personal and social implications	Formulating own judgements and evaluations	Incapable of making own judgements and evaluations.	Lets self be influenced when making judgements and evaluations.	Makes own judgements and evaluations.	Makes well-founded judgements and evaluations.	Defends own evaluations and judgements with conviction.		
	Considering others' judgements	Not interested in others' judgements or opinions.	Accepts without question others' judgements.	Considers the judgements of other persons.	Appropriately analyses and evaluates the strong and weak points of others' judgements or opinions.	Incorporates others' ideas into own reasoning and judgements.		
	Making judgements based on internal criteria (internal consistency, logic, congruency, reliability, etc.)	Arbitrarily judges others' opinions.	Sometimes introduces criteria of internal consistency and logic in own opinions.	Usually introduces criteria of internal congruency and logic into own opinions.	Correctly criticises the congruency and consistency of argumentation.	Analyses the logic of an argument in relation to a reference model or pattern.		
	Weighing the practical implications of decisions and proposals	Ignores practical implications.	Considers practical implications, without adequately weighing them.	Weighs the probable implications of decisions and proposals.	Reconsiders proposals and decisions in light of reflection, weighing probable implications.	Considerably improves proposal/decision thanks to evaluation made.		
	Identifying the human rights implications of a problem or proposal (dignity, self-esteem, etc.)	Evaluates situations according to own interests.	Occasionally expresses disagreement with situations that infringe other people's rights.	Normally identifies the relationship between certain situations and individual rights.	Takes a stand on situations involving the rights of others.	Defends the rights of people and groups against particular positions and interests.		

CRITICAL THINKING: THIRD LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT (3)



in Furope **LEVELS OF** DESCRIPTORS **INDICATORS** MASTERY 1 2 4 5 3 Supports and iustifies both the Doesn't express own His/her capacity for Supporting and Makes judgements Justifies own judgements. strong and weak reasoning makes without being able to justifying own judgements and evaluations or points of own others question their judgements defend them. evaluations. judgements and own ideas or beliefs. opinions. Third level of opinions. mastery: Identifying underlying Identifies the Justifies judgements ideas, principles, Loosely associates Relates statements Makes unfounded on the basis of principles or ideas models and values of some statements and judgements to underlying underlying theoretical statements. Arguing the critical judgements underlying values. with certain stands. judgements. models and values. pertinence of judgements Analyses with **Making judgements** Occasionally relies appropriate criteria the Selects appropriate made and based on external Evaluates positions Ignores external external criteria to on external criteria pertinence and criteria (utility, criteria in formulating according to some analysing the in emitting use in supporting a relevance of feasibility, validity, judgements. external criteria. judgements. judgement. arguments and consistency of etc.) proposals. own behaviour, based on underlying Acting with Analyses a Accepts Analyses the Avoids reflecting on consistency and principles and Bases own behaviour posteriori the responsibility for consistency responsibility in own conduct or on the values he/she values own actions and consequences of between own beliefs decisions and behaviour. defends. own actions. behaviour. and actions. behaviour



2- Read and comment pp.1

-Definition -Progression of Levels



3- Share comments on:

-Definition -Progression of Levels



Read and comment on:

How well the level is broken down into indicators



Share comments on:

How well the level is broken down into indicators



Read the indicators and the scores and comment on:

How well the scores explain a criteria for measuring the indicators



Share comments on:

How well the scores explain a criteria for measuring the indicators



Select one indicator of the level and Discuss on:

How would you assess it?



Share comments on:

How would you assess one of the indicators of this level



Thank you very much!